Case: 2:13-cv-00602-GCS-MRA Doc #: 2 Filed: 06/24/13 Page: 1 of 8 PAGEID #: 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2013 JUN 24 AM 11:59 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

Lisa DeJarnette 11751 Jerome Road Plain City, Ohio 43064

Plaintiff,

v.

The Ohio State University Medical Center 1670 Upham Drive Columbus, Ohio 43210

DEFENDANT

U.S. DISTR

Case No. 2:13 C V 0 6 0 2

Judge

見り(部) 電視計算

Jury Demand **Endorsed Hereon**

MAGISTRATE JUDGE ABEL

COMPLAINT

Now comes Plaintiff Lisa DeJarnette (hereafter "Plaintiff" or "DeJarnette") by and through undersigned counsel and for her Complaint against Defendant The Ohio State University Medical Center (hereafter "Defendant" or "Ohio State") states and avers as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Lisa DeJarnette is a resident of the State of Ohio.
- 2. Defendant the Ohio State University Medical Center is a state university and hospital with its principal location in Columbus, Ohio. At all times alleged herein, Defendant the Ohio State University Medical Center was an employer as such as been defined by Title VII and Title 4112 of the Ohio Revised Code, employing Lisa DeJarnette.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 29 USCS §§2611 et seq. and 28
 USC Section 1331, as well as this Court's power to assert supplemental jurisdiction of state claims.
- 4. The unlawful discrimination and employment practices alleged below were committed within the State of Ohio, in the Southern District, Eastern Division of this Federal Court.
- 5. At all relevant times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in Franklin County,
 Ohio which is the county in which the Defendant conducted the activity which gave rise to
 Plaintiff's claims for relief, and the county in which all or part of Plaintiff's claim arose.
- 6. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was in the business of "education and provision of medical services" and in such business a labor dispute would "hinder" or "obstruct" the free flow or commerce as defined by 29 USCS §2611(1). Furthermore, at all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was an "employer" as defined by 29 USCS §2611(4)(A) as well as Ohio Revised Code Section 4112.01.
- 7. Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on February 29, 2012. On or about May 20, 2013, Plaintiff received a Dismissal and Notice of Rights which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

FACTS

- Defendant employed Plaintiff from September 5, 2006 through December 28,
 Her last position held was Psychiatric Emergency Services Clinician.
- 9. At all times alleged herein, the conduct discussed including the adverse employment actions discuss herein occurred in the County of Franklin, State of Ohio.

- 10. Plaintiff has been diagnosed with a recognized disability that limits her everyday life activity, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder ("ADHD").
- 11. Plaintiff had requested accommodations for her disability, initially in 2009 and then again on April 28, 2011, May 20, 2011, July 8, 2011, September 21, 2011, October 15, 2011, October 28, 2011, November 30, 2011, December 2, 2011, December 8, 2011 and December 12, 2011.
- 12. In September 2011, amidst her multiple requests for accommodation, DeJarnette received a corrective action that addressed tardiness. DeJarnette presented evidence that her disability caused the tardies and the corrective action was held in abeyance.
- 13. Plaintiff appealed an evaluation she received on October 15, 2011 and she reported she was being treated differently because of her disability. Specifically, the only negative report on her evaluation was a result of her disability. Further, another social worker in the same position who not disabled but had tardies did not receive similar discipline or evaluation.
- 14. On November 23, 2011 following Plaintiff's report of unfair treatment, discrimination and multiple requests for accommodation, Defendant held an impromptu meeting with Plaintiff. Plaintiff received a text in the evening on November 22, 2011 indicating that a follow up meeting was necessary to discuss her discipline. During the meeting on November 23, 2011, her supervisor asked her random questions related to her edit slips. Later the supervisor told her that he had no idea what the meeting was about but that he had received a list of questions to ask and he felt uncomfortable asking them. He indicated he did not know why they were doing this.

- 15. On December 8, 2011, Plaintiff was officially notified of the charges and a disciplinary hearing. Plaintiff provided explanation for each time entry and there was no evidence presented to dispute Plaintiff's position. Further, the reason for Plaintiff's forgetfulness in swiping her badge was her documented disability.
 - 16. Defendant terminated Plaintiff.
- 17. Other associates without disability who had tardies were not similarly disciplined.

 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION (ADA)
- 18. Plaintiff hereby incorporates its allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 17 above as if fully rewritten herein.
- 19. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff, a qualified individual on the basis of a disability, or perceived disability, by terminating her.
 - 20. Defendant's actions are intentional.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct set forth above, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including but not limited to emotional and physical distress, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, lost wages and income (including back pay and front pay), and other benefits to which she was entitled, an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCOMMODATION

- 22. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 21 above as if fully rewritten herein.
- 23. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff, a qualified individual on the basis of a disability or perceived disability, by failing to provide a reasonable accommodation upon request. Such conduct is prohibited by 11 USC §12112(a), (b)(5)(A).

- 24. Defendant's actions are intentional.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct set forth above, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including but not limited to emotional and physical distress, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, lost wages and income (including back pay and front pay), and other benefits to which she was entitled, an amount to be determined at trial.

<u>THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION – DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION (Ohio Revised Code Sections 4112.02 AND 4112.99)</u>

- 26. Plaintiff hereby incorporates her allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 25 above as if fully rewritten herein.
- 27. Plaintiff is suffering from a qualified disability and/or handicap because she has ADHD.
- 28. Plaintiff was terminated by Defendant because of her disability and/or her report of unfair treatment related to her disability and/or her request for accommodation.
- 29. Plaintiff, although disabled, can safely and substantially perform the essential functions of her job as a Psychiatric Emergency Services Clinician.
- 30. As a result of Defendant's decision to terminate Plaintiff due to her disability, Defendant has violated §4112.02 of the Ohio Revised Code and has committed an unlawful discriminatory practice.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct set forth above, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including but not limited to emotional and physical distress, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, lost wages and income (including back pay and front pay), and other benefits to which she was entitled, an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION – RETALIATION

- 32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 31 above as if fully rewritten herein.
 - 33. Plaintiff engaged in activity protected by Title VII and Title 4112.
- 34. Defendant was aware that Plaintiff had made allegations of disability discrimination and requests for accommodation.
- 35. Defendants terminated Plaintiff as a result of Plaintiff's exercise of the protected activity.
- 36. There is a causal connection between the Plaintiff's termination and the exercise of the protected activity.
- 37. As a result of Defendant's retaliation, Plaintiff has been damaged in excess of \$100,000 for compensatory damages and in excess of \$100,000 for punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Lisa DeJarnette prays for judgment against Defendant The Ohio State University Medical Center, for all equitable, compensatory, punitive, liquidated and statutory damages including attorney fees and reinstatement in an amount that is full and fair compensation under all applicable law, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, reinstatement, loss of benefits compensation, pain and suffering, liquidated damages, attorney fees, costs interest and such further and other relief as this Court may deem proper.

Respectfully submitted,

KEMP, SCHAEFFER & ROWE

CO., LPA

BY: /s/ Erica Ann Probst

Erica Ann Probst (0073486)

88 West Mound Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone: (614) 224-2678 Facsimile: (614) 469-7170

Erica@ksrlegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Lisa DeJarnette

JURY DEMAND

Lisa DeJarnette hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues that are so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

KEMP, SCHAEFFER & ROWE

CO., LPA

BY: /s/ Erica Ann Probst

Erica Ann Probst (0073486)

88 West Mound Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

Telephone: (614) 224-2678 Facsimile: (614) 469-7170

Steven@ksrlegal.com Erica@ksrlegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Lisa DeJarnette

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION TO

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To:	Lisa DeJarnette
	11751 Jerome Road
	Plain City OH 43064

From: Cleveland Field Office EEOC, AJC Fed Bldg 1240 E 9th St, Ste 3001

Tall Guy, Gu 1995		Cleveland, OH 44199					
		on(s) aggrieved whose identity is					
EEOC Charge		29 CFR §1601.7(a)) EEOC Representative		Telephone No.			
2200 09		Denise M. Klaus,					
532-2012-0	1021	Investigator		(216) 522-7460			
THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:							
	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.						
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.						
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.						
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge						
X	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.						
	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.						
	Other (briefly state)						
- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)							
Discriminat You may file lawsuit mus lost. (The til	tion in Employment Ac e a lawsuit against the re t be filed <u>WITHIN 90 Da</u> me limit for filing suit bas	spondent(s) under federal law law to the second law law to the second law market and the second law market law	based on this charge in to the charge in the	federal or state court. Your based on this charge will be			
alleged EPA	Act (EPA): EPA suits me a underpayment. This me file suit may not be col	ust be filed in federal or state co eans that backpay due for any lectible.	violations that occurre	d more than 2 years (3 years)			
		On behalf of the	ne Commission	MAY 2 0 2013			
Enclosures(s)		Cynthia Stank Acting Direc	•	(Date Mailed)			
Lee Ann Rabe Assoc Asst Attorney Gnrl Assoc Asst Attorney Gnrl							

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL Education Section 30 E Broad St, 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

88 West Mound Street Columbus, OH 43215